McCann FitzGerald

Riverside One Sir John Rogerson's Quay Dublin 2 Do2 X576

McCann FitzGerald

Tel: +353-1-829 0000 Fax: +353-1-829 0010

Email: inquiries@mccannfitzgerald.com

Dx 31 Dublin

www.mccannfitzgerald.com

OUR REF

YOUR REF

DATE

RJB\DAWL\28781133.1

10 April 2018

The Honourable Mr Justice Cross
The High Court
Four Courts
Inns Quay
Dublin 7

DePuy International Limited ("DePuy") ASR ADR Process - Periodic Report

By Hand

Dear Mr Justice Cross

We write on behalf of DePuy under Clause 2.2 of the ADR Process and for the Court's review of the ADR Process on 13 April 2018.

We will send a copy of this letter by email today to all plaintiffs' solicitors and to the chairman of the Evaluators.

Summary of ASR litigation

1102 High Court proceedings have been served on DePuy. Over 50% of cases involving revised plaintiffs have been resolved. The cases break down as follows:

- 500 resolved (including 113 during 2017)
- 126 are currently in the ADR Process
- 3 are listed for trial in 2018
- 255 further cases involving revised plaintiffs are not resolved
- 218 plaintiffs have not undergone any revision surgery

Barry Devereux, Ronan Molony, John Cronin, Catherine Deane, Paul Heffernan, Terence McCrann, Roderick Bourke, Niall Powderly, Kevin Kelly, Hilary Marren, Eamonn O'Hanrahan, Roy Parker, Patricia Lawless, Helen Kilroy, Judith Lawless, James Murphy, David Lydon, David Byers, Seán Barton, Colm Fanning, Paul Lavery, Alan Fuller, Claire Lenny, Maureen Dolan, Michelle Doyle, Hugh Beattie, Fergus Gillen, Valerie Lawlor, Mark White, Eamon de Valera, Joe Fay, Ben Gaffikin, Donal O Raghallaigh, Karyn Harty, Philip Andrews, Barrett Chapman, Mary Brassil, Audrey Byrne, Shane Fahy, Georgina O'Riordan, Adrian Farrell, Michael Murphy, Aidan Lawlor, Darragh Murphy, Brian Quigley, Conor O'Dwyer, Stephen FitzSimons, David Hurley, Philip Murphy, Fiona O'Beirne, Garreth O'Brien, Gary McSharry, Alan Heuston, Josh Hogan, Richard Leonard, Jenny Mellerick, Rory O'Malley, Lisa Smyth, Tom Dane, Catherine Derrig, Megan Hooper, Shane Sweeney, Adam Finlay, Iain Ferguson, Jennifer Halpin, Stuart McCarron, Stephen Proctor, Michael Coonan, Stephen Holst, Emily Mac Nicholas, Brendan Murphy, Shane O'Brien, Éamon Ó Cuív.

Consultants: Timothy Bouchier-Hayes, Rosaleen Byrne, Elva Carbery, David Clarke, Annette Hogan, Ambrose Loughlin, Eleanor MacDonagh (FCA), Lonan McDowell, Anna Moran, Peter Osborne, Michael Ryan (FCA), Tony Spratt (ACA).

Update on ADR Process

The table below shows the status of the ADR Process before each review date:

(all figures are cumulative)	27 July 2016	27 Sept 2016	6 Jan 2017	12 Apr 2017	3 Oct 2017	10 April 2018
Form Bs received	27	47	119	153	195	222
Plaintiff solicitor firms	18	27	33	48	58	69
Ineligible claims	3	5	11	15	17	19
Form Bs endorsed	7	9	76	95	129	153
Evaluations	0	2	7	14	25	36 (with 6 more awaited)
o Accepted by DePuy	-	2	7	14	24	36
 Accepted by DePuy and Plaintiff 	-	2	4	10	15	21
 Accepted by DePuy but rejected by Plaintiff 	-	-	2	2	9	10
o Awaiting Plaintiff decision	-	-	1	2	-	5
Resolved claims where Form B received (including settlements by negotiation)	5	10	18	31	45	78

We have received 222 Form Bs from 69 different firms and we have resolved 78 of these claims. 36 claims have been evaluated and we will receive 6 more evaluations shortly. DePuy has accepted all evaluations. Only two claims where evaluations were rejected remain unresolved to date.

Of the 69 claims that have not been endorsed, 19 are ineligible under the terms of the ADR Process and 17 were resolved before endorsement. Of the 33 other claims that are not endorsed, we have arranged or are seeking to arrange settlement discussions in 14 claims and in most of the other claims we either await further medical records or details of special damages before being able to endorse the claim.

There are 85 endorsed claims that have not resolved or gone to evaluation. Malcomson Law act in 60 of those claims. However, we have not received a schedule of special damages or submissions in any of those 60 Malcomson Law cases, even though 46 of the claims were endorsed in late 2016.

Exclusionary criteria in ADR

We have concluded that 19 of the 222 cases where we received a Form B are excluded under the rules of the ADR Process: 6 of these cases did not have the necessary Injuries Board authorisations;

RJB\DAWL\28781133.1 Page 2/3

in 2 cases the claimant had not undergone revision surgery; in 2 cases the claimant underwent revision surgery less than 180 days or more than 10 years after the index operation; and in 1 case the claimant's revision surgery took place outside Ireland.

In the 8 other cases, we concluded in light of the evidence available that the claimant's revision surgery was due exclusively to infection (5), stem loosening (1), dislocation (1) or trauma (1). These are exclusionary criteria under Clause 3.2 (c) (i)-(v). However, in each such case we will carefully examine any other medical evidence or records which the claimant's solicitor draws to our attention in support of admitting the claim.

Medical records

Where records cannot be found or where claimants' solicitors are encountering other difficulties retrieving medical records, we discuss with them, by telephone or otherwise, how the problem can be overcome. We also offer to collect medical records without expense to the claimant in cases that appear eligible for the ADR Process where the claimant's solicitor gives us signed authorisation(s) addressed to the medical service provider(s). We copy collected records to claimants' solicitors.

Costs in ADR

The offer of €25,000 in respect of a plaintiff's legal costs, expenses and VAT for any case settled within the ADR Process following endorsement of Form B remains available. The offer is without prejudice to any right of a plaintiff to seek higher costs on taxation or by agreement.

Staffing for ADR

Three McCann FitzGerald solicitors, supervised by a partner and supported by a team of legal executives, work full time on claims in the ADR Process. These solicitors, the other solicitors working on the DePuy litigation in this firm and barristers retained on behalf of DePuy in the litigation are available to discuss with plaintiffs' lawyers resolution of any eligible claim.

Information to plaintiffs' representatives

McCann FitzGerald

We continue to draw plaintiffs' representatives' attention to the ADR Process, and to our client's willingness to discuss resolution of all eligible claims, through recurring one-page advertisements since February 2017 in the Law Society Gazette and the Bar Review and through the ADR website.

Yours faithfully

RJB\DAWL\28781133.1 Page 3/3